

# Combining Techniques of Automatic Program Synthesis

Investigation of Application of Gained Knowledge

Neil Crossley

Otto-Friedrich University, Bamberg

July 7, 2008

# Summary

## ① Introduction

Overview of Program Development  
Importance of Specifications

## ② Experiment Description

Objective  
Approach

## ③ Results

In Brief  
Conclusions

## ④ Current work

# Outline

## ① Introduction

Overview of Program Development

Importance of Specifications

## ② Experiment Description

Objective

Approach

## ③ Results

In Brief

Conclusions

## ④ Current work

# Program Development Processes

- 1 Analysis.
- 2 Specification.
- 3 Design.
- 4 Code.
- 5 Test.
- 6 Deploy.
- 7 Maintain.

# Program Development Processes

- 1 Analysis.
- 2 Specification.
- 3 Design.
- 4 Code.
- 5 Test.
- 6 Deploy.
- 7 Maintain.

Can we automate these processes?

# Advantages

## Benefits:

- Lower development costs/time.
- Increases availability of solution programs.
  - Lowers skills threshold
- Greater assistance with repetitious tasks for computer users.

# Program Development Processes

- 1 Analysis.
- 2 **Specification.**
- 3 Design.
- 4 Code.
- 5 Test.
- 6 Deploy.
- 7 Maintain.

# Outline

## ① Introduction

Overview of Program Development  
Importance of Specifications

## ② Experiment Description

Objective  
Approach

## ③ Results

In Brief  
Conclusions

## ④ Current work



# Automatic Program Synthesis

## Assumed available

- A description of the program behaviour.
- Programming skills.
- Testing capability.

# Automatic Program Synthesis

## Assumed available

- A description of the program behaviour.
- Programming skills.
- Testing capability.

## Important Questions

- How descriptive is the specification?
- How is the target program extracted out of the specification?

# How descriptive is the specification? I

## (In-)formal (natural) language

Expressing the target behaviour using a known syntax with known semantics.

## Example (last)

Return the last element in  
the given list.

```
last: List -> Item
last( [X] ) = X
last( [X|Y] ) = last(Y)
```

# How descriptive is the specification? II

## Other: by examples

The characteristics are expressed using positive (or negative) examples.

## Example (last)

```
last: List -> Item
last( [X] ) = X
last( [X,Y] ) = Y
last( [X,Y,Z] ) = Z
```

```
last: List -> Item
last( [X] ) = X
last( [X|Y] ) = last(Y)
```

# Formal vs Examples

## Formal

- Specification language as complex as programming language.
- High demands on the specifier.

## By examples

- Examples characterise the problem space of the target program.
- Is more intuitive for many users.
- Insufficient examples lead to either insufficient or no results.

# How is the target program extracted out of the specification?

## Analytically

Analysis techniques on the examples commonalities to directly infer a solution program.

- Deterministic
- Reduced problem space due to expression constraints

## Generate and test

Systematically generate and evaluate potential solutions becomes the search for one or many correct solution programs.

- Non-deterministic
- Reduced problem space due to search constraints and operators.

# Outline

- ① Introduction
  - Overview of Program Development
  - Importance of Specifications
  
- ② Experiment Description
  - Objective
  - Approach
  
- ③ Results
  - In Brief
  - Conclusions
  
- ④ Current work

# Functional Program Synthesis Techniques

## IGOR2

Analytical, induction driven approach.

- Emphasis on pattern searching techniques (ie., anti-unification)
- Specifications in MAUDE
- Solutions are a constructor system

## ADATE

“Automatic Design of Algorithms Through Evolution”

- Specifications in ML
- Solutions in ADATE-ML, subset of ML.



# Objective

## Origin

Jumpstart ADATE with quick inferences from IGOR2

## Investigate

- Is it possible to use both ADATE and IGOR2 together?
- Can we improve ADATE search runs using IGOR2?

# Outline

## ① Introduction

Overview of Program Development  
Importance of Specifications

## ② Experiment Description

Objective  
**Approach**

## ③ Results

In Brief  
Conclusions

## ④ Current work

# Assumed Conditions

## The situation involving IGOR2

- Insufficient examples.
- Results in an incomplete rule.
- Terminated incomplete.

# Simulated Termination with Failure in IGOR2

## Example

1. `switch( [] ) = []`
2. `switch( [X] ) = [X]`
3. `switch( [X,Y | XS] ) = [Y,X | switch(XS)]`

▶ Show workings

# Simulated Termination with Failure in IGOR2

## Example

1. `switch( [] ) = []`
2. `switch( [X] ) = [X]`
3. `switch( [X,Y | XS] ) = Z`

▶ Show workings

# ADATE Specifications

- Unassisted.
- Redefined.
- Top level restriction.
- Additional atomic functions.
- Inner functions.

# Unassisted Specification

## Definition

A normal ADATE specification, which solves the problem without aid from IGOR2.

- Only necessary help functions (if any).
- Many examples.
- Templates for other specifications.

# Unassisted Specification

## Example

```
[type declarations]  
[help functions]
```

```
fun f ( ... ) : myType = raise D1
```

```
fun main( ... ) : myType = f ( ... )
```



# Redefined

## Definition

The function definition of  $f$  is rewritten to implement the rules known to IGOR2.

- This  $f$  is inserted into starting population.

# Redefined Specification

## Example

```
[type declarations]
[help functions]

fun f Xs =
  case Xs of
    nil => Xs
  | cons( Y1, Y2 ) =>
    case Y2 of
      nil => Xs
    | cons( W1, W2 ) => raise D1

fun main( Xs ) = f Xs
```

# Top Level Restriction

## Definition

The function definition of `main` is rewritten to implement the rules known to IGOR, using `f` to solve the unknown rule.

- The task becomes much smaller.

# Restricted Specification

## Example

```
[ type declarations ]  
[ help functions ]  
  
fun f Xs = raise D1  
  
fun main( Xs ) =  
  case Xs of  
    nil => Xs  
  | cons( Y1, Y2 ) =>  
    case Y2 of  
      nil => Xs  
    | cons( W1, W2 ) => f Xs
```

# Additional atomic function's

## Definition

(Some) additional discovered functions are implemented in the specification.

- Used only as needed.

# Specification with an additional atomic function

## Example

```
[type declarations]
[help functions]
fun last (aList : list) : int = case aList of
  nil => raise D1
  | cons ( aH, aT ) => ( case aT of
    nil => aH
    | cons (aaH, aaT) => lastFun ( aT )
  )

fun f Xs = raise D1

fun main( Xs ) = f Xs
```

# Inner functions

## Definition

(Some) additional discovered functions are implemented as a part of the function  $f$ .

- Used only as needed.

# Specification with an inner function

## Example

```
[type declarations]
[help functions]

fun f Xs =
  let
    last ( ... ) = ...
  in
    raise D1
  end

fun main( Xs ) = f Xs
```



# Outline

- ① Introduction
  - Overview of Program Development
  - Importance of Specifications
  
- ② Experiment Description
  - Objective
  - Approach
  
- ③ Results
  - In Brief**
  - Conclusions
  
- ④ Current work

# Success

Problem	Type	Time	Efficiency
switch	Unassisted	4.34	302
	Restricted	0.47	344
	Redefined	3.96	302
sort	Unassisted	457.99	2487
	Restricted	225.13	2849
swap	Unassisted	292.05	1076
	Restricted and functions	41.43	685
lasts	Unassisted	260.34	987
	Restricted	6.25	1116
shiftR	Unassisted	8.85	239
	Redefined, functions	1.79	239
shiftL	Unassisted	4.17	221
	Restricted	0.61	281

# Failure

Problem	Type	Time	Efficiency
insert	Unassisted	7.81	176
	Restricted	18.37	240

Every other combination resulted in:

- the same results, only delayed.
- drastically worse times.

▶ Show sort

# Failure

## Reasons in short

### Redefined

- Too many possible variations to explore.

### Restriction

- Contrary to ADATEs search techniques.

### Additional atomic functions

- Expands the search space right from the start.

### Inner functions

- Too many possible variations to explore.

# Outline

- ① Introduction
  - Overview of Program Development
  - Importance of Specifications
  
- ② Experiment Description
  - Objective
  - Approach
  
- ③ Results
  - In Brief
  - Conclusions
  
- ④ Current work

# Conclusions

## Project

- Combination is successful.
- Criteria for the successful method is unknown.

## ADATE

- Needs better support for “background knowledge”.

# Master thesis

## Questions

- When is which method appropriate?
- Unknown categories of problems?
- Avoid trial-and-error?

## Aim

The method of inserting IGOR2 knowledge into ADATE which guarantees no worse results.

# Master thesis

## Under development

- More problems.
- More complex. (more non-iterative and of varied O-complexities)
- More analysis. (ie, rules count, complexity order)



sort( [] ) = []

sort( [X|Y] ) = [ min([X|Y]) | sort( butmin([X|Y]) ) ]

min( [X] ) = X

min( [X,Y|Z] ) = if X < Y then min( [X|Z] ) else min( [Y|Z]

butmin( [X] ) = []

butmin( [X,Y|Z] ) = if X < Y then [Y| butmin( [X|Z] )]  
else [X| butmin( [Y|Z] )]

```
fun f Xs =  
  case Xs of  
    nill => Xs  
  | cons( V12CE, V12CF ) =>  
  case f( V12CF ) of  
    V21C2E =>  
  case V21C2E of  
    nill => Xs  
  | cons( VA355, VA356 ) =>  
  case ( VA355 < V12CE ) of  
    false => cons( V12CE, V21C2E )  
  | true => cons( VA355, f( cons( V12CE, VA356 ) ) )
```

```
fun f( (aInt, aList) : (int * list) ) : list =  
  raise D1
```

```
fun sort (Xs : list ) : list = case Xs of  
  nil => Xs  
  | cons( Y1, Y2 ) => f( Y1, Y2 )
```

```
fun main( Xs : list ) : list = sort Xs
```

## shiftR Solution

```
shiftR( [] ) = [] shiftR( [X|Y] ) = [last(X|Y) | init(X|Y)]  
last( [X] ) = X last( [X|Y] ) = last(Y)  
init( [X] ) = [] init( [X|Y] ) = [X| init(Y)]
```

# Input Examples: shiftR

## Example

- 1 `shiftR( [] ) = []`
- 2 `shiftR( [W] ) = [W]`
- 3 `shiftR( [W,X] ) = [X,W]`
- 4 `shiftR( [W,X,Y] ) = [Y,W,X]`
- 5 `shiftR( [W,X,Y,Z] ) = [Z,W,X,Y]`

## Solution

# Input Examples: shiftR

## Example

- 1 `shiftR( [] ) = []`
- 2 `shiftR( [W] ) = [W]`
- 3 `shiftR( [W,X] ) = [X,W]`
- 4 `shiftR( [W,X,Y] ) = [Y,W,X]`
- 5 `shiftR( [W,X,Y,Z] ) = [Z,W,X,Y]`

## Solution

- 1 `shiftR( [] ) = []`
- 2 `shiftR( [A|B] ) = C`

# Input Examples: shiftR

## Example

- 1 `shiftR( [] ) = []`
- 2 `shiftR( [W] ) = [W]`
- 3 `shiftR( [W,X] ) = [X,W]`
- 4 `shiftR( [W,X,Y] ) = [Y,W,X]`
- 5 `shiftR( [W,X,Y,Z] ) = [Z,W,X,Y]`

## Solution

- 1 `shiftR( [] ) = []`
- 2 `shiftR( [A|B] ) = C`

# Input Examples: shiftR

## Example

- 1 `shiftR( [] ) = []`
- 2 `shiftR( [W] ) = [W]`
- 3 `shiftR( [W,X] ) = [X,W]`
- 4 `shiftR( [W,X,Y] ) = [Y,W,X]`
- 5 `shiftR( [W,X,Y,Z] ) = [Z,W,X,Y]`

## Solution

- 1 `shiftR( [] ) = []`
- 2 `shiftR( [A|B] ) = [sub1[A|B]|sub2[A|B]]`



# Input Examples: sub1

## Example

- 1 `sub1( [W] ) = W`
- 2 `sub1( [W,X] ) = X`
- 3 `sub1( [W,X,Y] ) = Y`
- 4 `sub1( [W,X,Y,Z] ) = Z`

## Solution

# Input Examples: sub1

## Example

- 1  $\text{sub1}([W]) = W$
- 2  $\text{sub1}([W,X]) = X$
- 3  $\text{sub1}([W,X,Y]) = Y$
- 4  $\text{sub1}([W,X,Y,Z]) = Z$

## Solution

- 1  $\text{sub1}([A]) = A$
- 2  $\text{sub1}([A|B]) = C$

# Input Examples: sub1

## Example

- 1  $\text{sub1}([W]) = W$
- 2  $\text{sub1}([W,X]) = X$
- 3  $\text{sub1}([W,X,Y]) = Y$
- 4  $\text{sub1}([W,X,Y,Z]) = Z$

## Solution

- 1  $\text{sub1}([A]) = A$
- 2  $\text{sub1}([A|B]) = C$

# Input Examples: sub1

## Example

- 1  $\text{sub1}([W]) = W$
- 2  $\text{sub1}([W,X]) = X$
- 3  $\text{sub1}([W,X,Y]) = Y$
- 4  $\text{sub1}([W,X,Y,Z]) = Z$

## Solution

- 1  $\text{sub1}([A]) = A$
- 2  $\text{sub1}([A|B]) = \text{sub1}[B]$

## Input Examples: last

### Example

- 1  $\text{sub1}([W]) = W$
- 2  $\text{sub1}([W,X]) = X$
- 3  $\text{sub1}([W,X,Y]) = Y$
- 4  $\text{sub1}([W,X,Y,Z]) = Z$

### Solution

- 1  $\text{last}([A]) = A$
- 2  $\text{last}([A|B]) = \text{last}[B]$

## Input Examples: sub2

### Example

- 1 `sub2( [W] ) = []`
- 2 `sub2( [W,X] ) = [W]`
- 3 `sub2( [W,X,Y] ) = [W,X]`
- 4 `sub2( [W,X,Y,Z] ) = [W,X,Y]`

### Solution

## Input Examples: sub2

### Example

- 1  $\text{sub2}([W]) = []$
- 2  $\text{sub2}([W,X]) = [W]$
- 3  $\text{sub2}([W,X,Y]) = [W,X]$
- 4  $\text{sub2}([W,X,Y,Z]) = [W,X,Y]$

### Solution

- 1  $\text{sub2}([A]) = []$
- 2  $\text{sub2}([A|B]) = [C]$

## Input Examples: sub2

### Example

- 1  $\text{sub2}([W]) = []$
- 2  $\text{sub2}([W,X]) = [W]$
- 3  $\text{sub2}([W,X,Y]) = [W,X]$
- 4  $\text{sub2}([W,X,Y,Z]) = [W,X,Y]$

### Solution

- 1  $\text{sub2}([A]) = []$
- 2  $\text{sub2}([A|B]) = [C]$



## Input Examples: sub2

### Example

- 1  $\text{sub2}([W]) = []$
- 2  $\text{sub2}([W,X]) = [W]$
- 3  $\text{sub2}([W,X,Y]) = [W,X]$
- 4  $\text{sub2}([W,X,Y,Z]) = [W,X,Y]$

### Solution

- 1  $\text{sub2}([A]) = []$
- 2  $\text{sub2}([A|B]) = \text{sub2}[B]$

## Input Examples: initial

### Example

- 1  $\text{sub2}([W]) = []$
- 2  $\text{sub2}([W,X]) = [W]$
- 3  $\text{sub2}([W,X,Y]) = [W,X]$
- 4  $\text{sub2}([W,X,Y,Z]) = [W,X,Y]$

### Solution

- 1  $\text{init}([A]) = []$
- 2  $\text{last}([A|B]) = \text{last}[B]$

# Input Examples: shiftR

## Example

- 1  $\text{shiftR}(\ [] ) = []$
- 2  $\text{shiftR}(\ [W] ) = [W]$
- 3  $\text{shiftR}(\ [W,X] ) = [X,W]$
- 4  $\text{shiftR}(\ [W,X,Y] ) = [Y,W,X]$
- 5  $\text{shiftR}(\ [W,X,Y,Z] ) = [Z,W,X,Y]$

## Solution

- 1  $\text{shiftR}(\ [] ) = []$
- 2  $\text{shiftR}(\ [A|B] ) = [\text{last}[A|B]|\text{init}[A|B]]$
- 3  $\text{last}(\ [A] ) = A$
- 4  $\text{last}(\ [A|B] ) = \text{last}[B]$
- 5  $\text{init}(\ [A] ) = []$
- 6  $\text{last}(\ [A|B] ) = \text{last}[B]$