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Human Problem Solving

- Behaviorism: reinforcement of solutions found by trial and error
- Cognitivism: trial-and-error cannot explain the systematicity and productivity of thinking (finding new solutions)
- Most influential researchers: Newell and Simon (Human Problem Solving, 1972)
- Assumption: search in problem space
- Weak strategies (uninformed but complete)
- Strong strategies (informed but incomplete)
- Research questions: what types of strong strategies are used by human problem solvers?
Humans often use greedy strategies for solving problems ("bounded rationality", Herbert Simon)

The means-end (MEA) strategy which is the search algorithm used in the GPS (General problem solver) is such a greedy strategy

As we will see in the lecture on planning, MEA is not complete! (Sussman Anomaly)

Subjects have problems with the transformation from state (6) to (7). Here 2 and not only 1 passenger must be transported back to the left river bank. That is, there must be created a situation which is further removed from the goal state than the situation before.
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Means-End-Analysis

**Transform:** Compare current state with goal state

IF the current state fulfills the goal
THEN stop and announce success
ELSE **Reduce** the difference between the current state and the goal.

**Reduce:** Find operator which reduces the difference between current state and goal

IF there is no such operator
THEN stop and announce failure
ELSE **Apply** the operator to the current state.

**Apply:** Apply an operator to the current state

IF the operator is applicable to the current state
THEN apply it and **transform** the resulting state into the goal.
ELSE **Reduce** the difference between the current state and the application conditions of the operator.
MEA Example

Transform: initial state (discs 1, 2, 3 on peg A) to goal state (discs 1, 2, 3 on peg C)

Reduce: 3 is not on C
Apply: Move 3 to C
  Reduce: 3 is not free, because of 2
  Apply: Remove 2
    Reduce: 2 is not free, because of 1
    Apply: Remove 1;
      1 can be moved to C
      2 is free
      2 can be moved to B
      3 is free
    Reduce: 3 cannot be moved to C, because of 1
    Apply: Remove 1;
      1 can be moved to B
      3 can be moved to C
MEA Example cont.

Transform: State (1 and 2 on B, 3 on C) to goal state
Reduce: 2 is not on C
Apply: Move 2 to C
Reduce: 2 is not free because of 1
Apply: Remove 1;
1 can be moved to A
2 is free
2 can be moved to C
Transform: State (1 is on A, 2 and 3 on C) to goal
Reduce: 1 is not on C
Apply: Move 1 to C;
1 can be moved to C
1 is on 3
Transform: State (1, 2 and 3 on C) to goal
success
Cognitive Architectures

Cognitive Architecture: “unified theory of cognition”
- explicit definition of basic mechanisms of information processing
- assumption that these mechanisms are constant over all domains (problem solving, language understanding, pattern recognition etc.)
- basic mechanisms: control of interaction with environment, representation of information in memory, strategy to select rules
- Advantage: different models realized in the same architecture get comparable

Alternative: special purpose cognitive models (such as SME for analogical reasoning, see below)
Cognitive Architectures cont.

- Prominent Architectures: The ACT-family (J.R. Anderson et al.), Soar (based on GPS)
- ACT and Soar are production systems
- ACT: long-term memory is divided in a declarative memory ("know what", activation net) and a procedural memory ("know how")
- Example strategies for selecting rules: most specific first, most recently used, priority values (updated in dependence of success)
Production System
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Finding a good representation

In human problem solving, there is an interaction between constructing a suitable representation and solving the problem.

In AI systems, typically the representation needs to be fixed before problem solving (see Kaplan & Simon, 1990). Exceptions: approaches to solving proportional analogies using re-representation (Copycat, Hofstadter et al. 1995, PAN, O’Hara 1992, Indurkhya 1992)

Empirical studies: Plötzer & van Lehn, 1997

Examples: Mutilated checkerboard, nine-dots problem
Re-Representation
Context Effects

- Since human problem solving is typically guided by knowledge, search for a solution might be misled by preconceptions.

- Gestalt-Theory: functional fixation (Duncker 1945)
  Examples: Candle, matches, and box with pushpins; pendulum problem.

- A related phenomenon: set-effect (Luchins & Luchins, 1950)
  Water jug problems.
Analogical Problem Solving

A problem solving strategy alternative to heuristic search is using analogical reasoning.

- Retrieve a suitable source problem.
- Map the entities of the source with the entities of the target problem in a structure preserving way.
- “Carry-over” known parts of the source to target (possibly perform necessary adaptations)

Gentner (1983)

Cognitive Models: SME (Falkenhainer et al. 1989), LISA (Hummel & Holyoak, 1998)

Empirical investigation of analogical transfer (Schmid et al., 1999)
Learning by Doing

- A problem solving system has no memory. Therefore, it might recalculate solutions which it already had achieved in another problem solving episode.
- The power law of learning (Anzai & Simon, 1979): learning curve, speed-up effect
- Humans acquire skills (procedural knowledge) when solving problems
- Cognitive Models, based on production systems: ACT (Anderson et al.), SOAR (Newell et al.): Declarative knowledge is “compiled” into rules
- But: these models do not cover strategy learning/control rule learning (see Schmid et al. 2000)
The Running Gag of CogSysI

Question: How many AI people does it take to change a lightbulb?

Answer: At least 67.

2nd part of the solution: The Problem Space Group (5)

- One to define the goal state
- One to define the operators
- One to describe the universal problem solver
- One to hack the production system
- One to indicate about how it is a model of human lightbulb-changing behavior

(“Artificial Intelligence”, Rich & Knight)